

NCLB SCHOOL PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

The School Public Accountability Report contains several types of data(indicators)designed to inform parents and the general public about the progress of Florida's public schools. This report meets the public reporting requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act and includes certain additional information of interest on the status of Florida's schools.

STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

October Membership

The following table provides information on the composition of the student population at the school, district, and state levels.

Racial / Ethnic Group	Number of Students Enrolled in October		School %		District %		State %	
	Female	Male	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07
WHITE	261	296	89.1	88.7	87.9	87.7	45.9	46.8
BLACK	27	32	9.4	9.4	8.7	8.6	23.1	23.1
HISPANIC		5	.8	1.1	1.4	1.9	24.7	24.2
ASIAN				.3	.1	.3	2.4	2.3
AM. INDIAN	1		.2	.2	.1	.1	.3	.3
MULTI RACIAL		3	.5	.3	1.8	1.4	3.6	3.3
DISABLED	31	77	17.3	18.8	21.5	22.0	14.4	14.7
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	160	189	55.8	54.5	67.1	67.1	45.9	45.4
ELL				.3		.3	11.9	11.8
MIGRANT				.2		.5	.5	.7
FEMALE	289		46.2	52.1	48.2	49.4	48.7	48.6
MALE		336	53.8	47.9	51.8	50.6	51.3	51.4
TOTAL		625	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

READINESS TO START SCHOOL

Florida requires that communities collaborate to prepare children and families for children's success in school.

Kindergarten students were screened during the first 30 calendar days of the beginning of school using the Florida Kindergarten

Readiness Screener (FLKRS). The FLKRS is made up of a subset of the Early Childhood Observation System (ECHOS)- an observational instrument that is used to monitor the skills, knowledge, and behaviors a student demonstrates or needs to develop-

and two probes of the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS)- Letter Naming Fluency (LNF) and Initial Sound Fluency (ISF).

The benchmarks used in scoring for the ECHOS include the following:

- \* Consistently Demonstrating
  - ® The student is consistently demonstrating acquisition of this skill or behavior.
- \* Emerging/Progressing
  - ® The student is at an early stage of growth but appears to be showing growth towards the skill or behavior.
- \* Not Yet Demonstrating
  - ® The student is not exhibiting any learning in the benchmark.

The benchmarks used in scoring for the DIBELS are as follows:

- \* Above Average
  - ® At or above the 60th percentile
- \* Low Risk

- \* <sup>®</sup> At grade level
- \* Moderate Risk <sup>®</sup> Moderately below grade level and in need of additional intervention
- \* High Risk <sup>®</sup> Seriously below grade level and in need of substantial intervention

Category	Number of Students Evaluated and Where They were placed		School %		District %		State %	
	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07
ECHOS Ready*					85	89	88	86
ECHOS Not Ready					15	11	12	14
Total ECHOS					100	100	100	100
DIBELS LNF Ready**					63	70	72	70
DIBELS LNF Not Ready					37	30	28	30
Total DIBELS LNF					100	100	100	100
DIBELS ISF Ready**					53	64	65	63
DIBELS ISF Not Ready					47	36	35	37
Total DIBELS ISF					100	100	100	100

\*To be considered "ready" on this measure, the student must score Consistently Demonstrating or Emerging/Progressing.

\*\*To be considered "ready" on either of these two measures, the student must score Above Average or Low Risk.

3 NOTE: Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole integer after individual categories are tabulated.

GRADUATION RATE AND PREPARATION FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

Florida high schools strive to ensure that students graduate and are prepared to enter the workforce and postsecondary education.

Graduation rate (with special diploma recipients counted as non-graduates)

The graduation rate shows the percentage of students who graduated within four years of initial entry into ninth grade. Graduates include students who received a standard high school diploma or a State of Florida diploma earned through a GED Exit Option program. These results are used in the calculation of schools' Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

	School %		District %		State %	
	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06
ALL STUDENTS	72.1	71.5	71.7	69.6	69.8	68.3
WHITE	75.0	75.2	74.6	73.5	78.9	77.6
BLACK	46.2	50.0	46.2	46.2	54.6	52.8
HISPANIC		25.0		25.0	63.6	61.2
ASIAN					82.4	82.5
AM. INDIAN					72.3	73.1
MULTI RACIAL		100.0		100.0	73.8	73.9
DISABLED	41.7	45.2	40.0	42.9	38.0	37.4
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	64.0	62.7	63.0	60.6	56.0	53.6
ELL					48.2	46.3
MIGRANT					48.2	42.9
FEMALE	78.2	72.5	77.6	71.8	73.9	72.5
MALE	65.2	70.5	65.2	67.2	65.8	64.2

Graduation rate (with GED-based diploma recipients counted as non-graduates)

This is a modified version of the graduation rate that counts the following diploma recipients as graduates: students who received a standard diploma; students with disabilities who completed the

requirements of their individualized education plan (IEP) and received a special diploma. Students who were awarded a GED-based diploma are counted as non-graduates. These results are not used for the AYP calculation.

	School %		District %		State %	
	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06
ALL STUDENTS	75.3	72.3	75.0	70.4	70.0	68.9
WHITE	78.6	75.2	78.3	73.5	78.6	77.4
BLACK	46.2	58.3	46.2	53.8	56.9	55.3
HISPANIC		25.0		25.0	64.3	62.0
ASIAN					82.2	82.3
AM. INDIAN					71.8	73.1
MULTI RACIAL		100.0		100.0	72.3	73.0

0708 NCLB School Public Accountability Reports

Run Date: 08/07/2008

Page 3

15-0021 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL  
DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL

GRADES: 9-12

High school dropout rate

Dropouts are students who leave school before graduation and do not enroll in another institution or educational program before the end of the school year. Percentages show by race and gender the the proportion of students from the total 9-12 enrollment who dropped out of school.

Racial / Ethnic Group	Number of Students Who Dropped Out of School		School %		District %		State %	
	Female	Male	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06
WHITE	12	11	3.9	5.6	3.8	5.5	2.4	2.6
BLACK	1	4	7.2	7.9	7.2	7.9	4.7	4.7
HISPANIC	1	1	28.6		25.0		3.9	4.3
ASIAN							1.7	1.5
AM. INDIAN							2.6	3.1
MULTI RACIAL							2.3	2.6
FEMALE	14		3.9	4.7	3.9	4.6	2.9	3.1
MALE		16	5.0	6.6	4.9	6.5	3.7	3.8
TOTAL		30	4.4	5.7	4.4	5.6	3.3	3.5

0708 NCLB School Public Accountability Reports

Run Date: 08/07/2008

Page 4

15-0021 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL  
DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL

GRADES: 9-12

College Placement Test Results

2006 high school graduates who passed college entry-level placement tests (reading, writing, and mathematics)

Shown in the table are the reported numbers of 2006 (calendar year) graduates who enrolled in Florida public community colleges or universities between May 2006 and April 2007, who entered a degree program, and who took college preparatory placement tests. Also shown are the number and percentage of students who passed these placement tests and who are considered ready for college courses in each academic area. Students who did not attend a Florida public community college or state university, such as those who attended out-of-state or private colleges and universities, are not included.

Racial / Ethnic Group	Number of Graduates Who Took College Placement Reading Tests	Number Who Passed Reading Placement Tests	School %	District %	State %
WHITE*					
BLACK*					
HISPANIC*					
ASIAN*					
AM. INDIAN*					
UNKNOWN*					
FEMALE	39	24	61.5	61.5	77.2
MALE	14	11	78.5	78.5	77.8
UNKNOWN					
TOTAL	53	35	66.0	66.0	77.5

\* Additional information is available at <http://data.fl.doe.org/perfcpt>.

0708 NCLB School Public Accountability Reports  
 Page 5  
 15-0021 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL  
 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL

Run Date: 08/07/2008

GRADES: 9-12

Racial / Ethnic Group	Number of Graduates Who Took College Placement Writing Tests	Number Who Passed Writing Placement Tests	School %	District %	State %
WHITE*					
BLACK*					
HISPANIC*					
ASIAN*					
AM. INDIAN*					
UNKNOWN*					
FEMALE	39	29	74.3	74.3	84.8
MALE	14	10	71.4	71.4	82.5
UNKNOWN					
TOTAL	53	39	73.6	73.6	83.9

Racial / Ethnic Group	Graduates who Took College Placement Mathematics Tests	Number Who Passed Mathematics Placement Tests	School %	District %	State %
WHITE*					
BLACK*					
HISPANIC*					
ASIAN*					
AM. INDIAN*					
UNKNOWN*					
FEMALE	39	20	51.2	51.2	67.6
MALE	14	7	50.0	50.0	73.0
UNKNOWN					

TOTAL | 53 | 27 | 50.9 | 50.9 | 70.0  
 \* Additional information is available at <http://data.fl.doe.org/perfcpt>.

0708 NCLB School Public Accountability Reports  
 Page 6  
 15-0021 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL  
 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL

Run Date: 08/07/2008

GRADES: 9-12

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Florida's students are expected to compete at the highest levels nationally and internationally and become prepared to make well-reasoned, thoughtful, and healthy lifelong decisions.

Standardized Tests

Although test scores should not be used to draw absolute conclusions about student learning and performance, they provide measured results of student progress toward educational goals. The tests administered to Florida students are described below.

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

The FCAT measures student performance in writing, reading, mathematics, and science. The FCAT has two main parts: one part consisting of tests that measure skills prescribed by the Sunshine State Standards and the other part consisting of norm-referenced tests that rank student performance on a percentile basis.

Alternate Assessments for Students with Disabilities

An alternate assessment for students with disabilities is a performance-based assessment designed to evaluate the progress of students with disabilities on the Sunshine State Standards for Special Diploma measures. Alternate assessments are used with students whose demonstrated cognitive functioning ability prevents them from achieving the Sunshine State Standards and who require extensive direct instruction in the areas of domestic, community living, leisure, and vocational activities. Alternate assessments for students with disabilities are given for writing/communication, reading, and math.

Alternate Assessments for Students who are English Language Learners

Students who are English Language Learners (ELL) and who have been in an English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) program for less than one year may be individually exempted from the FCAT. In these limited circumstances, locally developed alternate assessments are used to evaluate the academic performance of the student. Alternate assessments for ELL students are given for writing, reading, and math.

I. FCAT Sunshine State Standards Tests

The FCAT Sunshine State Standards (SSS) tests measure student performance on selected benchmarks defined by the Sunshine State Standards. Students who take an alternate assessment have their results reported in categorical classifications that include the designation of "Proficient" so that their performance is counted with those of other students.

Note: Assessment results on the following tables reflect FCAT Sunshine State Standards data combined with alternate assessment data. Results show proficiency attainment for students who were in attendance during both semesters of the school year.

0708 NCLB School Public Accountability Reports  
 Page 7  
 15-0021 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL  
 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL

Run Date: 08/07/2008

GRADES: 9-12

Writing Assessment

For this assessment, students are given 45 minutes to read their assigned topic, plan what to write, and then write their responses. Scores range from 1.0 (lowest) to 6.0 (highest). Alternate assessments have been merged with the FCAT scores for reporting purposes.

Writing Assessment Results  
 (FCAT Sunshine State Standards and Alternate Assessments)  
 Percent of Students Scoring 3 and Above  
 School % District % State %  
 2007-08 2006-07 2007-08 2006-07 2007-08 2006-07

	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07
ALL STUDENTS	88	84	93	89	92	93
WHITE	90	84	94	88	94	94
BLACK	N	82	88	89	90	90

HI SPANIC	N	100	N	100	91	91
ASIAN	N	N	N	100	95	96
AM. INDIAN	N	N	N	N	93	94
MULTI RACIAL*	N	N	N	100	94	94
DISABLED	N	52	70	69	76	75
ECONOMICALLY	86	79	91	86	89	89
DISADVANTAGED	N	N	N	N	81	83
ELL	N	N	N	100	85	84
MIGRANT*	90	92	95	95	95	95
FEMALE*	86	77	90	83	89	90
MALE*						

\* Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) calculation.

Note: An "N" indicates that no test results were reported.

Reading and Mathematics Assessments

On the FCAT SSS reading and mathematics tests, students can attain one of five possible achievement levels, ranging from Level 1 (lowest) to Level 5 (highest).

Student Achievement Level Descriptions

Level 5: Performance at this level indicates that the student has success with the most challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards. A Level 5 student answers most of the test questions correctly, including the most challenging questions.

Level 4: Performance at this level indicates that the student has success with the challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards. A Level 4 student answers most of the test questions correctly but may only have some success with questions that reflect the most challenging content.

Level 3: Performance at this level indicates that the student has partial success with the challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards, but performance is inconsistent. A Level 3 student answers many of the test questions correctly but is generally less successful with questions that are most challenging.

Level 2: Performance at this level indicates that the student has limited success with the challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards.

Level 1: Performance at this level indicates that the student has little success with the challenging content of the Sunshine State Standards.

Results of alternate assessments have been merged with the FCAT scores for reporting purposes.

Mathematics Assessment Results (FCAT Sunshine State Standards and Alternate Assessments) Percent of Students Scoring 3 and Above									
	School %			District %			State %		
	2007-08 Results	State Objective	% Not Tested	2007-08 Results	State Objective	% Not Tested	2007-08 Results	State Objective	% Not Tested
ALL STUDENTS	66	62	3	67	62	3	66	62	3
WHITE	68	62	3	69	62	3	76	62	3
BLACK	N	62	N	N	62	5	47	62	3
HI SPANIC	N	62	N	N	62	N	61	62	2
ASIAN	N	62	N	N	62	N	85	62	2
AM. INDIAN	N	62	N	N	62	N	71	62	3
MULTI RACIAL*	N	62	N	N	62	N	70	62	3
DISABLED	N	62	6	47	62	5	38	62	4
ECONOMICALLY	62	62	2	62	62	3	54	62	2
DISADVANTAGED	N	62	N	N	62	N	47	62	2
ELL	N	62	N	N	62	N	49	62	3
MIGRANT*	70	62	1	69	62	3	66	62	2
FEMALE*	62	62	4	65	62	4	66	62	3
MALE*									

\* Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) calculation.

Note: An "N" indicates that no test results were reported.

Reading Assessment Results  
(FCAT Sunshine State Standards and Alternate Assessments)

NCLBTE9205.17390.TXT

Percent of Students Scoring 3 and Above

	School %			District %			State %		
	2007-08 Results	State Objective	% Not Tested	2007-08 Results	State Objective	% Not Tested	2007-08 Results	State Objective	% Not Tested
ALL STUDENTS	37	58	2	56	58	3	60	58	3
WHITE	39	58	2	58	58	3	71	58	3
BLACK	N	58	N	N	58	4	42	58	3
HISPANIC	N	58	N	N	58	N	53	58	2
ASIAN	N	58	N	N	58	N	75	58	2
AM. INDIAN	N	58	N	N	58	N	64	58	3
MULTI RACIAL*	N	58	N	N	58	N	68	58	3
DISABLED ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED ELL MIGRANT*	N	58	8	34	58	5	34	58	4
	35	58	3	52	58	3	48	58	2
FEMALE*	43	58	1	61	58	2	62	58	2
MALE*	32	58	3	52	58	3	58	58	3

\* Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) calculation.

0708 NCLB School Public Accountability Reports

Run Date: 08/07/2008

Page 9

15-0021 DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL  
DIXIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL

GRADES: 9-12

Assessment Results by Grade: Percent Scoring at Level 3 or Above  
(FCAT Sunshine State Standards and Alternate Assessments)

School	Reading		Math	
	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07
Grade 3				
Grade 4				
Grade 5				
Grade 6				
Grade 7				
Grade 8				
Grade 9	38	34	65	50
Grade 10	35	24	67	64
District				
Grade 3	82	77	85	79
Grade 4	60	72	81	67
Grade 5	61	75	56	53
Grade 6	59	56	44	45
Grade 7	60	69	61	63
Grade 8	59	43	75	57
Grade 9	37	35	63	50
Grade 10	35	24	67	64
State Totals				
Grade 3	73	69	77	74
Grade 4	70	69	71	69
Grade 5	68	72	62	59
Grade 6	64	62	53	51
Grade 7	65	63	61	60
Grade 8	54	49	67	63
Grade 9	47	42	66	61
Grade 10	38	34	68	65

Note: An "N" indicates that no test results were reported.

II. FCAT Norm-Referenced Test (NRT)\*

The FCAT NRT measures student achievement on a test that was given to a national sample of students. Percentile scores on a norm-referenced test show a student's performance in relation to the performance of students in the national sample. For example, a score in the 60th percentile means the student has scored higher than 60% of the students in the national sample.

NRT Reading, Mathematics

The median national percentile rank (NPR) represents the middle percentile score of the students for whom results are presented. A median NPR of 50 equals the national average.

NRT Results

Subject (Grade)	Number Tested* School	Median National Percentile Rank		
		School	District	State
Reading (Gr. 3)			63	60
Mathematics (Gr. 3)			70	63
Reading (Gr. 4)			64	69
Mathematics (Gr. 4)			71	71
Reading (Gr. 5)			66	73
Mathematics (Gr. 5)			65	77
Reading (Gr. 6)			56	65
Mathematics (Gr. 6)			67	71
Reading (Gr. 7)			68	70
Mathematics (Gr. 7)			72	72
Reading (Gr. 8)			66	68
Mathematics (Gr. 8)			75	74
Reading (Gr. 9)	146	57	56	67
Mathematics (Gr. 9)	146	67	67	73
Reading (Gr. 10)	123	63	63	71
Mathematics (Gr. 10)	122	53	53	63

\*A pound sign(#) in a cell indicates suppressed data where fewer than 10 students were tested.

FCAT Results for Reading  
 Percentage of Students Scoring at Each FCAT Achievement Level, 2007-08

GRADE N/A	School %					District %					State %				
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
ALL STUDENTS	031	034	019	009	007	021	023	029	022	006	020	020	031	021	007
WHITE	026	036	021	010	008	019	023	028	024	006	012	017	033	027	011
BLACK	075	011	011	004	000	048	020	027	005	000	033	026	028	011	002
HISPANIC	025	075	000	000	000	013	031	044	006	006	003	028	040	023	006
ASIAN	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	010	014	030	029	016
AM. INDIAN	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	016	020	034	023	008
MULTIRACIAL*	N	N	N	N	N	022	011	056	011	000	009	012	058	016	006
DISABLED	055	030	013	000	003	050	021	023	004	002	049	021	021	008	002
ECO. DISADV.	034	034	019	009	005	025	024	029	019	004	028	023	030	015	003
ELL	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	052	021	021	006	001
MI GRANT*	040	020	020	000	020	032	011	037	016	005	038	025	027	009	001
FEMALE*	025	034	023	009	009	018	022	029	024	007	018	020	032	022	008
MALE	036	034	016	009	006	025	024	028	019	004	022	020	031	020	007

FCAT Results for Math

NCLBTE9205.17390.TXT

Percentage of Students Scoring at Each FCAT Achievement Level, 2007-08

	School %					District %					State %				
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
GRADE N/A															
ALL STUDENTS	013	023	035	023	006	015	020	034	024	008	015	019	032	024	010
WHITE	012	021	035	025	007	013	019	034	026	009	009	015	032	030	014
BLACK	021	036	029	011	004	029	027	032	009	002	027	027	030	013	003
HISPANIC	000	050	050	000	000	013	038	044	006	000	017	021	033	021	007
ASIAN	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	005	010	025	033	027
AM. INDIAN	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	012	018	033	026	011
MULTIRACIAL*	N	N	N	N	N	033	011	022	022	011	007	012	058	016	007
DISABLED	043	030	018	008	003	032	026	028	010	005	041	024	023	010	003
ECO. DI ADV.	014	025	037	019	004	018	021	035	020	006	022	024	032	017	005
ELL	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	036	026	025	010	002
MI GRANT*	060	000	020	020	000	032	016	021	032	000	022	025	034	016	003
FEMALE*	008	022	036	026	009	013	019	035	026	008	018	020	032	022	008
MALE	017	024	034	021	004	016	020	033	022	008	016	018	031	024	011

FCAT Results for Science

Percentage of Students Scoring at Each FCAT Achievement Level, 2007-08

	School %					District %					State %				
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
GRADE 05															
ALL STUDENTS						032	032	028	006	001	023	033	032	009	003
WHITE						030	031	030	007	002	013	030	040	013	004
BLACK						063	025	013	000	000	041	037	019	002	000
HISPANIC						040	060	000	000	000	027	036	029	006	001
ASIAN						N	N	N	N	N	013	026	038	017	006
AM. INDIAN						N	N	N	N	N	019	034	035	010	002
MULTIRACIAL*						050	000	050	000	000	018	035	035	009	003
DISABLED						058	024	018	000	000	045	032	019	003	001
ECO. DI ADV.						040	033	024	003	000	033	037	025	004	001
ELL						N	N	N	N	N	054	033	012	001	000
MI GRANT*						033	067	000	000	000	037	041	019	002	001
FEMALE*						033	034	027	005	001	024	035	031	008	002
MALE						031	028	031	009	002	022	032	033	010	003

FCAT Results for Science

Percentage of Students Scoring at Each FCAT Achievement Level, 2007-08

	School %					District %					State %				
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
GRADE 08															
ALL STUDENTS						024	038	033	004	000	027	033	031	007	002
WHITE						024	037	036	004	000	016	031	039	011	003
BLACK						043	057	000	000	000	046	035	017	002	000
HISPANIC						N	N	N	N	N	033	035	026	005	001
ASIAN						N	N	N	N	N	012	027	041	014	006
AM. INDIAN						N	N	N	N	N	020	035	035	007	003
MULTIRACIAL*						000	100	000	000	000	021	034	035	008	002
DISABLED						009	091	000	000	000	059	026	013	002	000
ECO. DI ADV.						029	040	026	005	000	039	035	022	003	001
ELL						N	N	N	N	N	070	024	006	000	000
MI GRANT*						N	N	N	N	N	050	034	015	001	000
FEMALE*						024	033	040	004	000	027	036	030	006	002
MALE						026	044	026	004	000	026	030	033	009	002

FCAT Results for Science

Percentage of Students Scoring at Each FCAT Achievement Level, 2007-08

	School %					District %					State %				
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
GRADE 11															
ALL STUDENTS	020	036	039	006	000	020	036	039	006	000	029	033	031	006	001
WHITE	019	036	039	005	000	019	036	039	005	000	017	032	040	009	001

NCLBTE9205.17390.TXT

BLACK	025	033	033	008	000	025	033	033	008	000	049	035	015	001	000
HI SPANIC	000	100	000	000	000	000	100	000	000	000	036	035	025	004	000
ASIAN	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	017	028	042	011	002
AM. INDIAN	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	018	037	035	009	001
MULTI RACIAL*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	021	034	037	007	001
DI SABLED	057	029	014	000	000	057	029	014	000	000	062	024	012	001	000
ECO. DI SADV.	028	037	031	005	000	028	037	031	005	000	042	034	020	002	000
ELL	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	075	020	005	000	000
MI GRANT*	000	100	000	000	000	000	100	000	000	000	049	033	015	002	000
FEMALE*	016	045	033	006	000	016	045	033	006	000	029	038	029	004	000
MALE	023	027	045	005	000	023	027	045	005	000	028	029	034	008	001

SCHOOL SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT

Schools and communities must provide an environment that is drug free and protects the health, safety, and civil rights of everyone in the school.

School Environmental Safety: Reported Incidents\*

The most recent full-year school-level data on reported incidents are available at the Florida School Indicators Report website at <http://data.fldoe.org/fsir>. (See "Incidents of Crime and Violence.") District-level reports are available at [www.firn.edu/doe/besss/sesir.htm](http://www.firn.edu/doe/besss/sesir.htm).

The No Child Left Behind Act provides for an Unsafe School Choice Option, which ensures that students who attend a school that has been identified as persistently dangerous are allowed the option of attending another school within the same district.

For the 2007-08 school year, no Florida public school was identified as persistently dangerous.\*

\*pending review of complete full-year data

TEACHERS AND STAFF

Schools, districts, and the state ensure that teachers and staff are professionally qualified.

School boards must provide a learning environment conducive to teaching and learning.

New staff

The table shows the number and percentage of instructional staff and school-based administrators who were newly hired at this school in 2007-08.

Staff Type	Total Number for 2007-08	Number Newly Hired for 2007-08	School %	District %	State %
Instructional Staff	37	8	21.6	16.3	19.6
School-Based Administrators	2	0	0.0	22.2	20.1

Total | 39 | 8 | 20.5 | 16.7 | 19.7

The Professional Qualifications of Teachers

Degree Level

This table shows the number and percentage of teachers at each degree level.

Degree Level	Number	School %		District %		State %	
		2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07	2007-08	2006-07
Bachelor's Degree	21	65.6	68.8	72.2	75.6	66.0	66.1
Master's Degree	10	31.3	25.0	27.1	22.1	30.9	31.1
Specialist Degree					.8	2.1	1.9
Doctorate	1	3.1	6.3	.8	1.5	1.0	.9
Total All Degrees	32	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials

All Florida teachers are certified, although some teachers may be temporarily assigned to areas outside their field of specialization. Data on classes taught by teachers out of field is provided in the following table.

In-Field and Out-of-Field Teachers

The following chart shows the percentage of core academic classes taught by teachers teaching in-field compared to the percentage of classes taught by teachers teaching out-of-field. When a teacher in a district school system is assigned teaching duties in a class dealing with subject matter that is outside the field in which the teacher is certified, outside the field that was the applicant's minor field of study, or outside the field in which the applicant has demonstrated sufficient subject area expertise, as determined by district school board policy in the subject area to be taught, that teacher is teaching "out-of-field." Core academic subjects are English, reading, language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics, government, economics, arts, history, and geography.

	School %	District %	State %
Percentage of Classes with Teachers Teaching In-Field	81.0	90.9	91.2
Percentage of Classes with Teachers Teaching Out-of-Field	19.0	9.1	8.8

Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The chart below shows the percentage of classes not taught by "Highly Qualified Teachers" in core academic subjects as defined in federal statute. A highly qualified teacher has earned at least a bachelor's degree and holds a Florida teaching certificate with appropriate certification for each core academic area of assignment. Core academic subjects are English, reading, language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics, government, economics, arts, history, and geography.

	School %		District %		State %		
	All Schools	High Poverty Schools*	High Poverty Schools*	Low Poverty Schools*	All Schools	High Poverty Schools*	Low Poverty Schools*
Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	28.5	16.7	7.6	0.0	9.1	10.1	8.8

\* High poverty schools are schools ranking in the top 25% of schools based on the percentage of students eligible for free/reduced-price lunch. Low poverty schools rank in the bottom quartile of schools based on free/reduced-price lunch eligibility. That is, low poverty schools have the lowest enrollment in free/reduced-price lunch as a percentage of total membership.

---

FLORIDA SCHOOL PERFORMANCE GRADE AND ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP)

School Performance Grade

Public schools in Florida are graded annually based on student performance on the FCAT and the percentage of students making learning gains. Schools are assigned a letter grade (A through F) corresponding with their rated performance, with grade A representing the highest performance rating and grade F representing a failed rating. A rating of "I" indicates that grading is incomplete. A grade of N indicates that the school is not graded. While the vast majority of Florida's schools receive a performance grade, certain types of schools are exempt from grading, including exceptional student education (ESE) centers and Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) facilities.

2007-08 School Performance Grade\*: C

\* Certain school grades may be subject to modification pending appeal.

For more information on school grades and grading procedures, contact your principal's office or your local school board, or visit the web page at <http://schoolgrades.fl DOE.org>.

NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Report

Federal NCLB legislation requires schools to report Adequate Yearly Progress based on annual objectives for students in reading, mathematics, and writing, as well as the high school graduation rate. A separate report that presents and explains AYP results for your school, your school district, and the state is distributed in conjunction with this document and is also available from the office of your school's principal and/or your local school board. The AYP report also includes information on schools identified for school improvement. Detailed information on school, district, and state AYP is available at <http://schoolgrades.fl DOE.org/default.asp>.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS OF FEDERAL NCLB LEGISLATION

A. Notice of School Improvement Status and Options

School districts are responsible for identifying Title I schools as schools in need of improvement when they fail to make AYP in consecutive years. School districts must notify parents when their child's school has been identified for school improvement, for corrective action, or for restructuring. The school district must also include an explanation of the parents' option to transfer their child to another public school, with transportation provided when required, or to obtain supplemental educational services. Sec. 1116(b)(6).

School improvement status is indicated by the school performance grade included herein and AYP status.

B. State's Obligation To Assist Schools and Districts in Reporting

The Department of Education shall ensure that each school district collects appropriate data and includes in each school's annual report the information included in the state annual report card as well as the number of schools identified for school improvement and how long the schools have been so identified. Sec. 1111(h)(2)(B).

C. Notice of Local Education Agency (LEA) Improvement Status

Parents of students attending a school in a district identified for improvement are entitled to know why the school district was identified for improvement. The state is responsible for providing an explanation to parents in an easily understood format. The explanation must include information on how parents can assist in the improvement efforts. Sec. 1116(c)(6)

---

Additional required information is included in the accompanying Adequate Yearly Progress Report.

Additional statistics and information of interest may be found in the Florida School Indicators

Report on the department's website at [www.fl DOE.org](http://www.fl DOE.org) or at <http://data.fl DOE.org/fsir>.

Notice of Availability of School Financial Report

For information on revenues and expenditures for your school and district, contact your local school

board or your school's administrative office. An online listing of district offices is available at

[http://www.fl DOE.org/schools/schoolmap/flash/district\\_list.asp](http://www.fl DOE.org/schools/schoolmap/flash/district_list.asp). A directory of schools is also available at

[http://www.fl DOE.org/schools/schoolmap/flash/schoolmap\\_text.asp](http://www.fl DOE.org/schools/schoolmap/flash/schoolmap_text.asp).

